

CASE REPORT

CELLTOP Clinical Trial: First Report From a Phase I Trial of Autologous Adipose Tissue—Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells in the Treatment of Paralysis Due to Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury

Mohamad Bydon, MD; Allan B. Dietz, PhD; Sandy Goncalves, MS; F M Moinuddin, PhD; Mohammed Ali Alvi, MBBS; Anshit Goyal, MBBS; Yagiz Yolcu, MD; Christine L. Hunt, DO; Kristin L. Garlanger, DO; Anna S. Del Fabro, MD; Ronald K. Reeves, MD; Andre Terzic, MD, PhD; Anthony J. Windebank, MD; and Wenchun Qu, MD, PhD, MS

Abstract

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a devastating condition with limited pharmacological treatment options to restore function. Regenerative approaches have recently attracted interest as an adjuvant to current standard of care. Adipose tissue-derived (AD) mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) represent a readily accessible cell source with high proliferative capacity. The CELLTOP study, an ongoing multidisciplinary phase 1 clinical trial conducted at Mayo Clinic (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03308565), is investigating the safety and efficacy of intrathecal autologous AD-MSCs in patients with blunt, traumatic SCI. In this initial report, we describe the outcome of the first treated patient, a 53-year-old survivor of a surfing accident who sustained a high cervical American Spinal Injury Association Impairment Scale grade A SCI with subsequent neurologic improvement that plateaued within 6 months following injury. Although he improved to an American Spinal Injury Association grade C impairement classification, the individual continued to be wheelchair bound and severely debilitated. After study enrollment, an adipose tissue biopsy was performed and MSCs were isolated, expanded, and cryopreserved. Per protocol, the patient received an intrathecal injection of 100 million autologous AD-MSCs infused after a standard lumbar puncture at the L3-4 level 11 months after the injury. The patient tolerated the procedure well and did not experience any severe adverse events. Clinical signs of efficacy were observed at 3, 6, 12, and 18 months following the injection in both motor and sensory scores based on International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury. Thus, in this treated individual with SCI, intrathecal administration of AD-MSCs was feasible and safe and suggested meaningful signs of improved, rather than stabilized, neurologic status warranting further clinical evaluation.

© 2019 Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and Research
Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;
(a):1-9

ore than 17,000 people in the United States sustain a spinal cord injury (SCI) each year with over 291,000 affected, translating into major personal and overall socioeconomic burden.¹ The cost to the health care system exceeds \$40 billion with a cumulative compromise to the productive workforce.² Clinical trials striving to improve neurologic outcomes following SCI have yet to identify an intervention that would enhance the reach of current best practices, improving neurologic outcomes beyond initial surgical stabilization and comprehensive rehabilitation.^{3–8}



From the Neuro-Informatics Laboratory, Department of Neurologic Surgery (M.B., S.G.,

> Affiliations continued at the end of this article.

Novel treatment strategies, including regenerative approaches, have generated interest as potentially transformative in restoring form and function.⁹ The safety of these novel treatment strategies for patients with SCI in the acute and subacute phase has been investigated recently in early-stage clinical trials. These trials have focused primarily on change in motor function, with the aim to rigorously establish their clinical relevance.9 In this context, the use of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) has steadily evolved.¹⁰ In particular, adipose tissue represents a readily accessible and viable source of MSCs. Available evidence has shown that adipose tissue-derived (AD) MSCs can regulate inflammatory responses and provide a regeneration-permissive environment in animal models of SCI.9 However, there is a paucity of trials investigating the role of AD-MSCs in patients with advanced SCI. We present initial results for the first patient enrolled in the clinical multidisciplinary trial CELLTOP (Clinical Trial of Autologous Adipose Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells in the Treatment of Paralysis Due to Traumatic Spinal Cord Injury; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03308565), a phase 1 clinical trial of autologous AD-MSCs in the treatment of paralysis due to traumatic SCI.

REPORT OF CASE

Study Patient

The patient is a 53-year-old male who suffered a surfing accident resulting in a C3-4 SCI. Neurologic examination at the time of the accident revealed complete loss of motor and sensory function below the level of injury along with loss of bowel and bladder sensation. Accordingly, the individual was diagnosed with an American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) grade A SCI, and underwent a C2-6 posterior cervical decompression and fusion. Postoperatively, improvement in motor and sensory function and bowel and bladder sensation were demonstrable. However, 6 months after the injury, gains in neurologic function plateaued, and he did not experience additional amelioration.

Following informed consent, the patient was enrolled into the multidisciplinary

CELLTOP clinical trial 9 months after the injury (Supplemental Material, available online at http://www.mayoclinicproceedings. org). At the time of enrollment, his neurologic status was found to be ASIA grade C. Imaging revealed bilateral myelomalacia at the C3 level as well as the C2-6 decompression and fusion.

An open biopsy of abdominal wall adipose tissue was performed 8 weeks before the injection. Mesenchymal stem cells were isolated from the adipose tissue biopsy specimen and were expanded and cryopreserved internally in the Mayo Clinic Immune, Progenitor, and Cell Therapeutics Laboratory. Per protocol, the patient received an intrathecal injection of 100 million AD-MSCs suspended in lactated Ringer solution and infused after a standard lumbar puncture at the L3-4 level, 11 months after the injury and 5 months after plateau of improvement. His neurologic status was assessed according to the International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury at the baseline visit, at days 2 and 3, and weeks 1, 2, 4, 12, 24, 48, and 72. The Capabilities of Upper Extremity questionnaire and Global Health Score questionnaire were also administered at the same time points.

RESULTS

Safety and Adverse Events

The patient tolerated the procedure well and did not experience any severe adverse events related to the injection. He reported a mild to moderate headache on day 2, which resolved with acetaminophen. Throughout the 18-month follow-up, no other safety issues or adverse events were reported.

ASIA Motor Score

The total upper extremity motor score progressively improved from 35 at baseline to 44 at 18 months, with more marked improvement on the right (19 at baseline to 25 at 18 months) compared with the left side (16 at baseline to 19 at 18 months) (Figure 1A and Table 1). Also, considerable improvement was observed in total lower extremity motor scores, from 36 at baseline to 49 at 18 months following injection. Similar

FIRST REPORT FROM CELLTOP TRIAL



FIGURE 1. A, Total upper and lower extremity motor score at all time points. B, Total pinprick and light touch sensory score at all time points. C, Capabilities of Upper Extremity questionnaire scores at all time points. ISNCSCI = International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury.

| TABLE T. Motor and Sensory Scores at All Time Points According to the International Standards for Neuro- logical Classification of Spinal Cord Injury | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| | | Day | Day | Week | |
| Variable | Baseline | 2 | 3 | I | 2 | 4 | 12 | 24 | 48 | 72 | |
| Motor scores | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upper right extremity | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 25 | |
| Upper left extremity | 16 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | |
| Total upper extremity score | 35 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 43 | 44 | |
| Lower right extremity | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 24 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 25 | |
| Lower left extremity | 17 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 21 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | |
| Total lower extremity score | 36 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 46 | 44 | 47 | 47 | 49 | 49 | |
| Sensory scores | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right pinprick | 25 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 32 | 46 | |
| Left pinprick | 20 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 31 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 49 | |
| Total pinprick | 45 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 67 | 69 | 67 | 95 | |
| Right light touch | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 39 | 46 | |
| Left light touch | 27 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 39 | 50 | |
| Total light touch | 54 | 56 | 58 | 58 | 60 | 64 | 64 | 64 | 78 | 96 | |

improvement was noted bilaterally in the lower extremities (right, 19 at baseline to 25 at 18 months; left, 17 at baseline to 24 at 18 months) (Figure 1A and Table 1).

ASIA Sensory Score

The total pinprick score improved consistently at each time point from 45 at baseline to 95 at 18 months of follow-up. The improvement was similar on both sides, improving from 25 at baseline to 46 at 18 months on the right side and 20 at baseline to 49 at 18 months on the left side. Similarly, total light touch score also improved from 54 at baseline to 96 at 18 months of follow-up. Similar improvement was observed bilaterally: 27 at baseline to 46 at 18 months on the right side and 27 at baseline to 50 at 18 months on the left side (Figure 1B and Table 1).

We also examined the improvement in each dermatomal region. In the upper extremity, the improvement was substantially pronounced in the C5 region bilaterally. Additionally, on the left side the patient also experienced marked improvement in the C2-4, C6, and T1-3 region. In the lower extremity, the patient experienced improvement in the L4, L5, and S1-4 region (Figure 2A and B; 3A-D).

Capabilities of Upper Extremity Questionnaire

The Capabilities of Upper Extremity score improved from 110 at baseline to 144 at 18 months. The most notable improvement was in the "pull and push with arms" component, which improved from 31 at baseline to 46 at 18 months, and the "using hands and fingers" component, which improved from 40 at baseline to 51 at 18 months (Figure 1C).

Global Health Score

Substantial improvement in quality of life was observed, as assessed using the Global Health Score or PROMIS (Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System) 10 questionnaire. The global physical health raw/T score improved from 8/29.6 at baseline to 10/34.9 at 18 months, while the global mental health score improved from 7/11 at baseline to 11/41.1 at 18 months.

Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy

We also observed considerable improvement in physical therapy and occupational therapy measures at follow-up. The patient's time and speed for the 10-meter walk test improved from 57.72 seconds, 0.17 m/s at baseline to 23.00 seconds, 0.43 m/s at 15 months. His ambulation also improved substantially; at

FIRST REPORT FROM CELLTOP TRIAL



baseline, he was able to walk 635 ft for 12.8 minutes at a pace of 0.25 m/s, which improved to 2200 ft for 34 minutes at a pace of 0.33 m/s at 15 months. Moreover, his range of motion also improved considerably, most notably for shoulder flexion (left, 60° at baseline to 100° at 12 months; right, 105° at baseline to 130° at 12 months) and shoulder abduction (left, 60° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at baseline to 140° at 12 months; right, 100° at 12° at 1

DISCUSSION

Spinal cord injury has a complex pathophysiology. One of the more challenging aspects of this life altering pathophysiology is the onset of secondary mechanisms of tissue insult in the subacute and chronic phase following the primary injury. Glial scarring limits axonal regeneration as a physicochemical complex barrier by secreting growth inhibitor molecules. These microenvironmental changes cause the chronically injured spinal cord to be in a refractory state.¹¹ In addition to bridging the tissue gap that prevents regeneration in such a state, creating a permissive restorative environment also poses an important challenge. However, current knowledge about the intrinsic ability of the injured nervous system to regenerate, when in a supportive environment, has shortened the gap toward developing new strategies to treat SCI.¹² Regenerative medicine is an emerging field of medicine and surgery that may be a promising source of novel interventions to foster spinal cord regeneration, even in the setting of chronic SCI. Advances made have identified new translatable approaches, such as implantable



extremity motor scores.

biomaterials (polymer scaffolds) and stem cell transplant, as promising therapies.¹³

Given the multidimensional complexity of SCI, cell-based therapies have offered substantial promise as a therapeutic strategy because of the multifactorial roles stem cells can potentially provide. One of these roles is providing trophic support to the injured spinal cord microenvironment by modulating the inflammatory response, increasing vascularization, and suppressing cystic change.^{12,14}

Adipose Tissue—Derived Mesenchymal Stem Cells in SCI

Mesenchymal stem cells are one of the most frequently tested agents because of the broad range of sources (eg, umbilical cord, bone marrow, adipose tissue) and fewer ethical concerns including the opportunity to secure an autologous approach.^{15,16} Preclinical evidence has suggested that human AD-MSCs exhibit considerable proliferative capacity, growth factor production, and performance in improving functional outcomes in SCI.¹⁶ Postulated mechanisms of action in SCI include reduction of glial scarring,¹⁷ promotion of axonal regeneration via secretion of growth factors,^{16,18} and putative differentiation into neural elements.¹⁹ The relative ease of tissue harvest, subsequent cell culture under standard conditions, and potency to differentiate into various cell lineages underscore the potential for AD-MSCs as a therapeutic option.

Intrathecal Transplant of AD-MSCs

The safety of autologous AD-MSC delivery via intrathecal injection has been determined previously. Intrathecal injection of up to 100 million cells was found to be safe in a dose-escalation phase 1 clinical trial for 21 patients with amyotrophic lateral

FIRST REPORT FROM CELLTOP TRIAL

| TABLE 2. Physical Therapy and Occupational Therapy Scores at Available Time Points | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Variable | Baseline | I Month | 3 Months | 6 Months | 9 Months | 12 Months | 15 Months | | | |
| Physical therapy | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10-Meter walk | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time (s) | 57.72 | 36.21 | 33.52 | 30.02 | 29.82 | 25.19 | 23.00 | | | |
| Speed (m/s ²) | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.43 | | | |
| Ambulation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Distance (m) | 193.5 | 223.1 | 298.7 | 350.5 | 470 | 565.7 | 670.6 | | | |
| Time (min) | 12.80 | 13.80 | 16.00 | 17.65 | 23.95 | 27.12 | 34.00 | | | |
| Time (sec) | 768 | 828 | 960 | 1059 | 1437 | 1627 | 2040 | | | |
| m/s | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.33 | | | |
| Occupational therapy | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hand strength (kg) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gross grip | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right | 17.1 | 21.2 | 22.5 | 22.5 | NA | 24.8 | NA | | | |
| Left | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | NA | 18.0 | NA | | | |
| Lateral pinch | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right | 5.4 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | NA | 5.4 | NA | | | |
| Left | 5.0 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | NA | 5.4 | NA | | | |
| 3-Point pinch | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right | 5.0 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 5.4 | NA | 3.6 | NA | | | |
| Left | 3.2 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.6 | NA | 2.7 | NA | | | |
| Manual dexterity—9-hole peg test (s) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right hand | 1.05 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.65 | NA | 0.62 | NA | | | |
| Left hand | 1.90 | 1.33 | 1.13 | 1.10 | NA | 0.93 | NA | | | |
| Active range, sitting (°) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Right shoulder | | | | | | | | | | |
| Flexion | 105 | 110 | 125 | 120 | NA | 130 | NA | | | |
| Abduction | 100 | 120 | 135 | 110 | NA | 140 | NA | | | |
| External rotation | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | NA | 80 | NA | | | |
| Extension | 60 | 65 | 65 | 65 | NA | 70 | NA | | | |
| Left shoulder | | | | | | | | | | |
| Flexion | 60 | 60 | 80 | 95 | NA | 100 | NA | | | |
| Abduction | 60 | 80 | 80 | 95 | NA | 95 | NA | | | |
| External rotation | 40 | 40 | 40 | 45 | NA | 45 | NA | | | |
| Extension | 50 | 50 | 55 | 55 | NA | 65 | NA | | | |
| NA = not available | | | | | | | | | | |

sclerosis.²⁰ Hur et al²¹ reported the first human trial of intrathecal autologous AD-MSCs (dose, 90 million cells) in 14 patients with SCI, 12 of whom were reported to have ASIA grade A neurologic impairment at baseline. The authors reported improvement in motor function in 5 patients. Additionally, Thakkar et al²² reported variable and sustained improvement in ASIA score in 10 patients receiving intrathecal coinfusion of AD-MSCs bone autologous and marrow-derived hematopoietic stem cells (average dose, 45 million cells). With the exception of some minor adverse events

such as transitory fever, headache, and urinary tract infection, serious adverse effects were not reported in either trial. Combined, these studies suggest a favorable risk to benefit profile for intrathecal AD-MSC administration in SCI.

It is important in such cases to distinguish gains attributable to therapy from spontaneous recovery following the injury. In the current report, we have presented both subjective (physical therapy and occupational therapy reports) and objective (International Standards for Neurological Classification of Spinal Cord Injury scores)

measures to demonstrate that the patient, after reaching a plateau of spontaneous improvement at 6 to 7 months postinjury, experienced improvement in neurologic status.

Overall, intrathecal AD-MSC administration may be a relatively noninvasive and safe therapeutic option for patients with SCI to improve their neurologic status after they have reached a ceiling effect in terms of spontaneous recovery. It is important to demonstrate the successful translation of novel therapeutics using a multimodal approach at a time when such therapeutic options are attracting scrutiny by the US Food and Drug Administration.²³

CONCLUSION

Intrathecal AD-MSC administration may have the potential to improve neurologic function in patients with plateaued clinical improvement following SCI. Further clinical translation of this therapeutic strategy would require demonstration of treatment safety and efficacy in a sufficiently powered randomized controlled trial and identification of prognostic factors predictive of response to intervention.

SUPPLEMENTAL ONLINE MATERIAL

Supplemental material can be found online at http://www.mayoclinicproceedings.org. Supplemental material attached to journal articles has not been edited, and the authors take responsibility for the accuracy of all data.

Abbreviations and Acronyms: AD = adipose tissue-derived; ASIA = American Spinal Injury Association; MSC = mesenchymal stem cell; SCI = spinal cord injury

Affiliations (Continued from the first page of this article.): F.M.M., M.A.A., A.G., Y.Y.), Division of Transfusion Medicine (A.B.D.), Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Division of Pain Medicine (C.L.H.), Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (K.L.G., R.K.R.), Center for Regenerative Medicine (A.T.), Department of Cardiovascular Medicine (A.T.), Department of Molecular Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics (A.T.), Department of Clinical Genomics (A.T.), Department of Neurology (AJ.W.), and Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation (W.Q., A.S.DF.), Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN.

Grant Support: This work was supported by grants from Regenerative Medicine Minnesota and Mayo Clinic Transform the Practice.

Potential Competing Interests: Dr Terzic is a member of the board for Rion, LLC. The other authors report no competing interests.

Correspondence: Address to Mohamad Bydon, MD, Department of Neurologic Surgery, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905. (bydon.mohamad@mayo.edu); or Dr Qu, MD, PhD, MS, Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St SW, Rochester, MN 55905 (Qu.Wenchun@mayo.edu).

REFERENCES

- National Spinal Cord Injury Statistical Center. Spinal Cord Injury Facts and Figures at a Glance. NSCISC website, https://www. nscisc.uab.edu/Public/Facts%20and%20Figures%202019%20-%20 Final.pdf. Accessed November 20, 2019.
- Spinal cord injury facts and figures at a glance. J Spinal Cord Med. 2012;35(4):197-198.
- Lammertse DP, Jones LA, Charlifue SB, et al. Autologous incubated macrophage therapy in acute, complete spinal cord injury: results of the phase 2 randomized controlled multicenter trial. Spinal Cord. 2012;50(9):661-671.
- Evaniew N, Noonan VK, Fallah N, et al. RHSCIR Network. Methylprednisolone for the treatment of patients with acute spinal cord injuries: a propensity score-matched cohort study from a Canadian multi-center spinal cord injury registry. *J Neurotrauma*. 2015;32(21):1674-1683.
- Casha S, Zygun D, McGowan MD, Bains I, Yong VW, Hurlbert RJ. Results of a phase II placebo-controlled randomized trial of minocycline in acute spinal cord injury. *Brain*. 2012;135(pt 4):1224-1236.
- Evaniew N, Belley-Côté EP, Fallah N, Noonan VK, Rivers CS, Dvorak MF. Methylprednisolone for the treatment of patients with acute spinal cord injuries: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Neurotrauma. 2016;33(5):468-481.
- Leech KA, Kinnaird CR, Homby TG. Effects of serotonergic medications on locomotor performance in humans with incomplete spinal cord injury. J Neurotrauma. 2014;31(15): 1334-1342.
- Norouzi Javidan A, Sabour H, Latifi S, et al. Does consumption of polyunsaturated fatty acids influence on neurorehabilitation in traumatic spinal cord-injured individuals? a double-blinded clinical trial. Spinal Cord. 2014;52(5):378-382.
- Dasari VR, Veeravalli KK, Dinh DH. Mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of spinal cord injuries: a review. World J Stem Cells. 2014;6(2):120-133.
- Oh SK, Jeon SR. Current concept of stem cell therapy for spinal cord injury: a review. Korean J Neurotrauma. 2016; 12(2):40-46.
- Lis A, Szarek D, Laska J. Biomaterials engineering strategies for spinal cord regeneration: state of the art [in Polish]. *Polim Med.* 2013;43(2):59-80.
- Pêgo AP, Kubinova S, Cizkova D, et al. Regenerative medicine for the treatment of spinal cord injury: more than just promises? *J Cell Mol Med.* 2012;16(11):2564-2582.
- Wang N, Xiao Z, Zhao Y, et al. Collagen scaffold combined with human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells promote functional recovery after scar resection in rats with chronic spinal cord injury. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2018;12(2):e1154-e1163.

FIRST REPORT FROM CELLTOP TRIAL

- Syková E, Jendelová P, Urdzíková L, Lesný P, Hejcl A. Bone marrow stem cells and polymer hydrogels—two strategies for spinal cord injury repair. *Cell Mol Neurobiol.* 2006;26(7-8): 1113-1129.
- Li XC, Zhong CF, Deng GB, Liang RW, Huang CM. Efficacy and safety of bone marrow-derived cell transplantation for spinal cord injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials. *Clin Transplant*. 2015;29(9):786-795.
- Zhou Z, Chen Y, Zhang H, et al. Comparison of mesenchymal stromal cells from human bone marrow and adipose tissue for the treatment of spinal cord injury. *Cytotherapy*. 2013;15(4): 434-448.
- Kolar MK, Kingham PJ, Novikova LN, Wiberg M, Novikov LN. The therapeutic effects of human adipose-derived stem cells in a rat cervical spinal cord injury model. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2014;23(14):1659-1674.
- Menezes K, Nascimento MA, Gonçalves JP, et al. Human mesenchymal cells from adipose tissue deposit laminin and promote regeneration of injured spinal cord in rats. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(5):e96020.

- Wang L-J, Zhang R-P, Li J-D. Transplantation of neurotrophin-3-expressing bone mesenchymal stem cells improves recovery in a rat model of spinal cord injury. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. 2014; 156(7):1409-1418.
- Staff NP, Madigan NN, Morris J, et al. Safety of intrathecal autologous adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal cells in patients with ALS. *Neurology*. 2016;87(21):2230-2234.
- Hur JW, Cho TH, Park DH, Lee JB, Park JY, Chung YG. Intrathecal transplantation of autologous adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells for treating spinal cord injury: a human trial. J Spinal Cord Med. 2016;39(6):655-664.
- 22. Thakkar UG, Vanikar AV, Trivedi HL, et al. Infusion of autologous adipose tissue derived neuronal differentiated mesenchymal stem cells and hematopoietic stem cells in post-traumatic paraplegia offers a viable therapeutic approach. Adv Biomed Res. 2016;5:51.
- Levy M. The FDA is going after stem cell clinics that peddle unproven treatments. Vox Media website. https://www.vox.com/ 2019/1/9/18173772/stem-cell-therapy-fda. Updated January 13, 2019. Accessed May 1, 2019.